



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/888,231	06/22/2001	Brian Siegel	50P4086.01	7537
27774 75	7590 09/23/2004		EXAMINER	
MAYER, FORTKORT & WILLIAMS, PC			WOO, ISAAC M	
251 NORTH AVENUE WEST 2ND FLOOR WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2172	

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/888,231	SIEGEL ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Isaac M Woo	2172				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 28 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires _months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee that in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee that is the filed in the file	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-62 and 64-121</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	•					
10. ☐ Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		SACKUM SHAHID ALAM PRIMARY EXAMINER				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The applicant's arguments (filed on 06/28/2004) are not persuasive.

Rebane discloses, acquiring a search result (survey questionnaire), responsive to the search request, which includes network address for the plurality of information sites, see (fig. 1a-g, col. 8, lines 61-67 to col. 8, lines 1-67 to col. 10, lines 1-54, col. 13, lines 21-67 to col. 14, lines 1-46); selecting a plurality of individuals who were previously in communication with at least one of the information sites over the packet-switched network (Internet) and who meet at least one predefined criterion selected by the user, see (fig. 17, categories, col. 33, lines 7-18); retrieving (by searching, fig. 18) from a database a plurality of evaluations of at least one of the information sites, the evaluations being provided by the plurality of individuals for the plurality of information sites (fig. 18, for instance, , Store Name, Outpost.com, Mercate, Inc, eCost.com, etc), see (fig. 18, col. 33, lines 18-67); and forwarding a rating based on the evaluations to the user over the packet switched network, see (fig. 18, col. 33, lines 18-67). Thus, the system of Rebane discloses the claimed limitations.